arXiv:cond-mat/0703778v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 17 Apr 2007

Theory of Electromagnetic Wave Transmission through Metallic Gratings of Subwavelength Slits.

N.Garcia[1] and M.Nieto-Vesperinas[2]
[1]Laboratorio de Física de Sistemas Pequeños y Nanotecnología,
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas ,
Serrano 144, 28006 Madrid, Spain.
[2] Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid,
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas,
Campus de Cantoblanco Madrid, Spain.
nicolas.garcia@fsp.csic.es; mnieto@icmm.csic.es

February 6, 2008

Abstract

We present FDTD calculations for transmission of light and other electromagnetic waves through periodic arrays of slits in a metallic slab. The results show resonant, frequency dependent, transmittance peaks for subwavelength widths of the slits which can be up to a factor of ten with respect to those out of resonance. Although our conclusions agree with previous work by Lezec and Thio as regards both the magnitude of the enhancement and the lack of contribution of surface plasmon polaritons of the metal surface to this effect, we derive an interpretation from a theory that deals with emerging beam-Rayleigh anomalies of the grating, and with Fabry-Perot resonances of the perforated slab considered as an effective medium.

1 Introduction

Extraordinary optical transmission through hole arrays of subwavelength diameter in metal films has been subjected to extensive study since it was first reported the detection of transmitted intensity large enhancements, which were polarization dependent [1] and attributed to excitation of surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) of the film surface [2], [3]. Nevertheless, recently both the enhancements of the transmission and the role of the SPPs in the phenomenon have been reconsidered [4], [5] showing that neither the enhancements are as large as initially proposed, nor the SPPs play a key role since the same observations are obtained in films of materials like Cr which has a very large permittivity imaginary part thus defining a very dissipative and broad plasmon. The same occurs in W and Si films that have a positive real part of the dielectric permittivity in the visible, and which of course do not support SPPs. These experiments arose a certain controversy as regards the understanding of the underlying physics behind phenomenon, and have thus warranted a closer look at the interpretation of these transmittance enhancements as in a recent publication [6], where it is shown that the initially reported enhancements of several orders of magnitude are so when the ratio is taken with respect to the transmitted intensity obtained from Bethe's theory [7]. However, Bethe's approximation, only valid for apertures much smaller than the wavelength and that thus assumes the field as constant inside them, is not applicable to this configuration and thus the aforementioned ratios are misleading. More realistic is to take the ratio of the resonantly enhanced intensities with respect to those obtained, both in experiments and exact calculations, out of resonance as done in [4] and [6]. Then, these enhancements are larger than without resonant transmission not by orders of magnitude but by a factor that in data obtained so far is not larger than 10.

In this paper we present an interpretation of the enhanced transmission of light through a periodic array of slits in a metal slab by means of a model that combine an approximate analytical calculation of scattering from corrugated metallic interfaces with that of transmission through metal slabs. The results agree qualitatively with those of [4] and [6] for circular holes. We conclude that transmission peaks are produced either by those kind of Wood anomalies known as Rayleigh anomalies (RA), namely those due to the emerging beams appearing in the passing-off of a new diffracted order, [8], [9], [10], [11], and that are well known to giving rise to strong discontinu-

ities of the diffracted intensities, or as a result of the Fabry-Perot (FP) type resonance in the film layer as if it were homogeneous with an effective refractive index. The FP resonance comes from reflection and transmission at the entrance and the exit interfaces of the slab. We shall show results both by an exact FDTD calculation and by means of a theoretical model that shows the physical meaning and nature of the enhancement phenomenon in the slit grating transmittance. In this connection, it should be pointed out that there is agreement that this kind of resonances appear in these slit arrays as recently shown in [12], [13], [14]; also related are those so-called horizontal and vertical surface plasmon resonances by the authors of ref.[15]. Other researchers like to interpret them in terms of coupling of SPPs at both surfaces of the film and of cavity modes in the slits [16]. Further studies along these lines can also be found in [17]. In addition, the interpretation of waveguide mode resonances and diffraction as responsible for the extraordinary transmission is pointed out in [18] and [19] where it is observed that transmittance of subwavelength metallic gratings may be nearly zero for frequencies corresponding to SPP excitation. Also, the introduction of film modulations to obtain similar resonant effects can be found in [20].

We shall first show how one slit does not transmit for s polarization but it does so for p-polarization. Then we shall argue that the transmission enhancement is not due to the SPP of the metal surface because with the slits present in the film this polariton is too extended and thus only one of its many Fourier components matches thus being not enough to yield the enhancement . By contrast, the Rayleigh anomaly is by contrast very sharply defined: that wavelength at which the z-component of the diffracted beam wavevector vanishes.

As for the FDTD calculations [21], we address grids with a space step $\delta x = 40nm$ and a time step $\delta t = 0.1\delta x/c$, where c is the light velocity. This sampling yields good convergent solutions. Periodic boundary conditions where used along the boundary parallel to the main direction of light propagation. On the other hand, the uniaxial perfectly matched layer (UPML) absorbing boundary condition, was used on the boundary perpendicular to light propagation; (showing no significantly different results for the particular structure here used to previous calculations done by means of Liao's conditions). Concerning the materials in these calculations, and as far as Ag is concerned, where SPPs have long been studied both in flat and periodically corrugated surfaces [22], [23], [24], and for which the imaginary part ϵ_2 of its

permittivity $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$ is small enough so that the SPP is well defined [22] in Drude's model with a bulk plasmon wavelength $\lambda_p = 325nm$ $\omega_p \sim 3.8eV$, it is known that for example in a periodic Ag interface with a small single Fourier component, the enhancement of the field due to the SPP may reach large values (100 times that of the incident field) [23]. However, when the amplitude of this harmonic increases, or many Fourier component exist, (this happens in e.g. a random rough interface [11] or in a step-like profile of the surface like in the slits addressed here), the enhancement is drastically reduced due to the enlargement of the SPP linewidth. In our calculations, the metal is characterized in Drude's model $\epsilon(\omega)/\epsilon_f = 1 - (\omega_p^2/\omega^2 + 2i\omega\delta))$ by fitting its permittivity ϵ_f, the bulk plasma frequency ω_p and the damping constant δ to the experimental data by Johnson and Christy [25] for Ag. The chosen parameters are $\epsilon_f = 6.8$, $\omega_p \sim 3.8eV$ and $\delta \sim -0.02eV$.

2 Calculations

We consider a plane electromagnetic wave normally incident on a lamellar grating consisting of 1-D slits as indicated in Fig.1, which we shall characterize by a profile function $z = D(x)$ with respect to the entrance plane of the film: $z = 0$. This configuration was studied in [2]. The parameters are: period $d = 3.5\mu m$, slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$; the thickness of the film h varies from $0.2\mu m$ to $4\mu m$.

We shall write the intensity transmitted by the grating in the far zone as:

$$I(Q_m) = \frac{q}{q_0}|A(Q_m)|^2, \tag{1}$$

where the incident and diffracted wavevectos are: $\mathbf{k_0} = (K_0, q_0)$, $\mathbf{k_m} = (Q_m, q_m)$, $k_m^2 = k_0^2 = (2\pi/\lambda)^2$. $Q_m = K_0 + 2\pi m/d$, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$, $q_m = \sqrt{k_0^2 - Q_m^2}$.

The diffracted amplitude transmitted by the grating is written as [26]:

$$A(Q_m) = \frac{1}{q_m}\int_0^d dx' F(x') \exp[-i(Q_m x' + q_m D(x'))]. \tag{2}$$

In Eq.(2) the source function $F(x)$ is given by the boundary conditions at the grating surface. On the other hand, for normal incidence: $Q_m = 2\pi m/d$.

In order to explicitely compare the contribution of the FP resonance as compared with that due to the RA, we shall write $F(x)$ as:

$$F(x) = \exp[iq_0 D(x)]\sqrt{T}\exp(i\phi), \tag{3}$$

ϕ being a certain phase and T being the film trasmittance:

$$T = \frac{1}{(1-r)^2}\frac{1}{1+4r/((1-r)^2\sin^2(\delta/2))}, \tag{4}$$

where $\delta = q_0 n_{eff} h + 2\psi$, n_{eff} is an effective index of the film averaged from the opaque and transparent portions of the slab, which makes sense for small wavelengths, and ψ denotes a phase shift between the incoming and the reflected wave.

In this way, the diffracted intensity for a given Q_m becomes:

$$I(Q_m) = T|B(Q_m)|^2. \tag{5}$$

$B(Q_m)$ is obtained from Eqs.(2) and (3). We then perform the integration for the profile $D(x)$ corresponding to the slit grating. The result is:

$$B(Q_m) = 4i\frac{q_0}{q_m}\sin(\frac{\pi m a}{d})\sin[\frac{(q_0 - q_m)h}{2}]. \tag{6}$$

The transmittance is next calculated by integrating $I(Q_m)$ over all diffraction orders, namely, at all angles of transmission. Figs.2(a)-2(d) correspond to the case dealt with in [2]. Both FDTD calculations (solid line) and results from Eq.(5) (broken line) are plotted. The slits are considered as practiced in an Ag slab of thickness h which varies from $0.2\mu m$ to $4\mu m$, the period being $d = 3.5\mu m$. Of course, the agreement between calculations and the analytical theory of Eqs. (2)-(6) is qualitative: these equations help us to interpret the origin of the resonant peaks of the transmission intensity, even though the numerical value of their results does not coincide with the exact one provided by the FDTD calculation.

We observe peaks under p-polarization, (namely with the H vector along the y-axis in Fig.1). In this case there is no cut-off for transmission because the first mode of the aperture cavity has the index (1,0); namely, it corresponds to a homogeneous mode. This is the underlying reason why there is larger transmission for p-polartization. Conversely, under s-polarization, there is a frequency cut-off and thus an evanescent transmitted mode through

the aperture cavity which has the index (1,1), and hence there is no transmitted intensity since this cut-off exponentially dampens its intensity. (An illuminating discussion of this effect is presented in Jackson's text [27], Section 8.4). Only by overcoming this cut-off at high frequencies a transmitted intensity arises in s-polarization.

On the other hand, in these figures, which show agreement with the calculation of [2], the two peaks, (in particular in Fig. 2(a) corresponding to $h = 3\mu m$ and $n_{eff} = 1.2$), are well separated from each other. Neither of these peaks arise from surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs). The broader peak in the right is a FP resonance due to the contribution of T in Eq.(5). The narrower peak, placed at shorter wavelengths in Fig. 2(a), is a Rayleigh anomaly, namely one due to the passing-off of a new diffracted mode; as such it occurs at a sharply well defined value of the wavelength, namely that at which $q_m = 0$. As the slab becomes thinner, the FP resonance peak shifts to smaller wavelengths and tends to overlap with the Rayleigh-emerging beam resonance. This is shown in Figs. 2(b)-2(d) corresponding to $h = 2\mu m$, $h = 1.2\mu m$ and $h = 0.6\mu m$. In fact, Fig.2(d) shows that in the latter case the peak resonance is mainly from the pure emerging beam. In the cases of Figs.2b) and 2(c), there is an overlapping of the FP and the emerging beam resonances with a subsequent splitting of both peaks. Therefore, this combination of the FP plus the emerging beam resonances explain all the structure of the frequency dependent transmittance curves given by the exact FDTD calculations, in numerical agreement with those of [2].

It should be pointed out that, although the resonance frequency of SPPs of the flat Ag slab is very close to that of the RA of this grating, the large corrugation introduced on its surface by the presence of the slits, in addition to to its broad Fourier spectrum as pointed out before, broadens the scattered field angular spectrum which, consequently, only weakly interacts with the SPP of the film flat surface. This is well known from the theory of surface-relief grating diffraction [23], [11], [24], where it is shown how the absorption of light by a grating, due to the SPP excitation, decreases as its depth increases. In addition, as we shall see later, these RA peaks equally appear for a non metal slab with slits as Cr.

As the slab thickness h increases, more FP resonances appear at longer wavelengths. This is shown in Fig.3(a) for $h = 4\mu m$ which corresponds to the calculation using Eq.(5) and that now exhibits two of these FP peaks: one near $5000nm$ and an additional broader one at $10000nm$. As remarked

above, none of these peak structures appears in s-polarization; this is seen in Fig.3(b) by the FDTD results. In this last figure we show the transmission curve slowly rising from the wavelength of $1.5\mu m$ and more abruptly towards the left to $1\mu m$, which is precisely double of the slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$, and thus constitutes the cut-off wavelength. This further demonstrates that the observation of transmission in these structures under p-polarization only, is not caused by SPP resonances, but is rather due to the above mentioned transmission characteristics of the waveguide that constitutes the aperture. We would also like to stress that these transmission enhancements, obtained at resonance, are not orders of magnitude larger, but given by factors not larger than 10.

The main contribution of both FP and Rayleigh anomalies to this transmission behavior, and not of SPPs, is further shown in Fig.3(c) which corresponds to a slit grating perforated in a Cr slab of thickness $h = 0.6\mu m$. Namely, identical to that dealt with in Fig.2(d) except for the material that now has a completely different dielectric constant. In fact, its imaginary part that defines the sharpness of the SPP at the frequencies of Fig.3(c) is 10 times larger than for Ag. The transmitted intensity exhibits not only the same RA resonance, but also an almost identical transmission curve as that of the Ag grating at all frequencies, as one sees on comparison of Figs. 2(d) and Fig.3(c). This result agrees with previous reports stating that these transmission peaks are equally obtained in slits practiced in metals and in dielectrics [4], [5], [28], [29].

On the other hand, as mentioned in Section 1, SPPs are well defined in Ag and Au in the visible . Then the width of the plasmon is small and its lineshape is sharp,(see [22]) . However, at the frequencies at hand the values of the imaginary part of Ag and Cr are about 5-35 and 44-200, respectively. This produces, (even more for Cr), a quite broad, and hence badly defined, SPP, if any. In addition, a SPP is a well defined harmonic wave. This is so when the roughness of the suface, (i.e. the h parameter in our grating) that holds the plasmon, is low. This leads to large enhancements in the near field intensity. However as the roughness increases, the plasmon width increases, and then the field enhancement is drastically reduced [23], [11]. Hence, SPPs cannot significantly contribute to these transmission peaks. On the contrary, for the Rayleigh resonances obtained in these calculations, the trasmitted intensity increases with the roughness h up to a certain value, like when the corrugation is a harmonic function [10], [11].

3 Conclusions

We have put forward an analytical approximate model, validated by FDTD calculations, that accounts for the transmitted intensity enhancements of light and other electromagnetic waves transmitted through a grating consisting of slits in a slab. The model has an interpretative value as it shows that these enhancements are due to both Rayleigh anomalies of the grating and to Fabry-Perot resonances of the slab. The contribution of surface plasmon polaritons to this effect is negligible, although when the apertures have other geometry, like circular holes, their morphological resonances (localized plasmons, not to confuse with SPPs) do also play an important role in these enhancements [6]. On the other hand, the enhancements obtained are a factor not larger than ten, but not by orders of magnitude, larger than out of resonance. We notice that there are critical experimental data that observed similar enhancement factors for Ag, W and Si in gratings of holes [4], [5] in the visible, where the last two materials are dielectric, (namely, they have a positive real part of the dielectric constant). In this case, it is well know that no SPP resonances can exist.

The results of this paper support those of Ref.4, although the analysis there, based on the angular spectrum of plane waves for the scattered field above and near apertures, attributes the enhancements to the contribution of a broad bundle of evanescent waves of this angular spectrum. As far as we know, that theory, which is also scalar, has not been tested with any exact procedure. We agree with the experiments and magnitude of the enhancements obtained in [4], as well as with the lack of contribution of SPPs to these peaks, as discussed there. We believe that our analytical calculations, validated by the FDTD simulations , help to support this agreement, even though it is not our purpose to enter in a direct comparison of our theory with that of [4]. We believe, nevertheless, that the mechanisms discussed here are sufficient to understand these enhancements as far as slits are concerned, in the same way as a recent publication done with the same procedure (cf. [6]) explains the enhancements for arrays of holes. In both cases: holes and slits, the characteristics of transmission of just one of these apertures determines the resultant properties of the array. However, whereas the properties of the transmitted modes in each slit for either s or p-polarization rules the enhancements of the array as discussed in Section 2; in the case of circular holes, the morphological resonances of each hole are a crucial factor that

contributes to the transmission peaks in addition to that of the Rayleigh anomalies of the arrays.

We hope that the arguments presented in this work on the physics of this effect will shed light on discussions as regards the nature of these enhancements.

This work has been supported by the DGICYT and European Union. We thank K. Ponizovskaya for assistance with the computer calculations.

References

[1] T.W. Ebbesen, H.J. Lezec, H.F. Ghaemi, T. Thio and P.A. Wolff, Extraordinary optical transmission through subwavelength hole arrays, *Nature (London)* **391**, 667 (1998).

[2] J.A. Porto, F.J. Garcia-Vidal and J.B. Pendry, Transmission resonances on metallic gratings with very narrow slits *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2845-2848 (1999).

[3] F.J. Garcia-Vidal, H.J. Lezec, T.W. Ebbesen and L. Martin-Moreno, Multiple paths to enhance optical transmission through a single subwavelength slit *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 213901 (2003).

[4] H. Lezec and T. Thio, Diffracted evanescent wave model for enhanced and suppressed optical transmission through subwavelength hole arrays *Opt. Express* **12**, 3629-3651 (2004).

[5] T. Thio, *Private Communication.*

[6] N. Garcia and M. Bai, Theory of transmission of light by subwavelength cylindrical holes in metallic films *Opt. Express* **14**, 10028-10042 (2006).

[7] H. Bethe, Theory of diffraction by small holes *Phys. Rev.* 66, 163-182 (1944).

[8] U. Fano, The theory of anomalous diffraction gratings and of quasi-stationary waves on metallic surfaces (Sommerfeld's waves), *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **31**, 213 (1941).

[9] A. Hessel and A.A. Oliner, A new theory of Wood anomalies on optical gratings, *Appl. Opt.* **4**, 1275-1297 (1965).

[10] , N. Garcia and A.A. Maradudin, Electromagnetic radiation from large amplitude dielectric gratings, *Opt. Comm.* **45**, 301-306 (1983).

[11] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, *Scattering and Diffraction in Physical Optics*, (2nd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006). Section 7.12.

[12] K.G. Lee and Q.H. Park, Coupling of surface plasmon polaritons and light in metallic nanoslits, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 103902 (2005).

[13] J.W. Lee, M.A. Seo, D.S. Kim, Ch. Lienau, J.H. Kang and Q.H. Park, Fabry-Perot effects in THz time-domain spectroscopy of plasmonic band-gap structures, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 071114 (2006).

[14] P.B. Catrysse, G. Veronis, H. Shin, J.T. Shen and S. Fan, Guided modes supported by plasmonic films with a periodic arrangement of subwavelength slits, *Appl. Phys. lett.* **88**, 031101 (2006).

[15] S. Collin, F. Pardo, R. Teissier and J.-L. Pelouard, Strong discontinuities in the complex photonic band structure of transmission metallic gratings, *Phys. Rev.B* **63**, 033107 (2001).

[16] F.J. Garcia-Vidal and L. Martin-Moreno, Transmission and focusing of light in one-dimensional periodically nanostructured metals, *Phys. Rev.* B, **66**, 155412, (2002).

[17] E. Popov, M. Neviere, S. Enoch and R. Reinisch, Theory of light transmission through subwavelength periodic hole arrays, *Phys. Rev. B*, **62**, 16100 (2000).

[18] P. Lalanne, C. Sauvan, J. P. Hugonin, J. C. Rodier and P. Chavel, Perturbative approach for surface plasmon effects on flat interfaces periodically corrugated by subwavelength apertures, *Phys. Rev. B*, **68**, 125404 (2003).

[19] Q. Cao and P. Lalanne, Negative Role of Surface Plasmons in the Transmission of Metallic Gratings with Very Narrow Slits, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 057403 (2002).

[20] N. Bonod, S. Enoch, L. Li, E. Popov and M. Nevire, Resonant optical transmission through thin metallic films with and without holes, *Opt. Express***11**, 482 (2003).

[21] A.Taflove and S.C. Hagness, *Computational Electrodynamics: The Finite-Difference Time-Domain Method*, (Artech House, 3rd Edition, 2005).

[22] , H. Raether, *Surface Plasmons on Smooth and Rough Surfaces and on Gratings*, (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1988).

[23] N. Garcia, Exact calculations of p-polarized electromagnetic incident on grating surfaces: surface polariton resonances, *Opt. Comm.* **45**, 307-311 (1983).

[24] A. Madrazo and M. Nieto-Vesperinas, Surface structure and polariton interactions in the scattering of electromagnetic waves a cylinder in front of a conducting grating, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **13**, 785-795 (1996).

[25] P. B. Johnson and R. W. Christy, *Optical Constant of the Noble Metals*, Phys. Rev. B 6, 4370 (1972).

[26] M. Nieto-Vesperinas and N. Garcia, A detailed study of the scattering of scalar waves from rough surfaces, *Opt. Acta* **28**, 1651 (1981).

[27] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, (2nd J. Wiley, New York, 1975).

[28] T. Thio, H.F. Ghaemi, H.J. Lezec, P.A. Wolff and T.W. Ebbesen Surface plasmon enhanced transmission through hole arrays in Cr films *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B***16**, 1743- 1748 (1999).

[29] M. Sarrazin and J.P. Vigneron, Optical properties of tungsten thin films perforated with a bidimensional array of subwavelength holes *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 016603 (2003).

Figure Captions

- Figure 1: Scattering geometry. Period $d = 3.5\mu m$, slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$; the thickness of the slab h varies between $0.2\mu m$ and $4\mu m$.

- Figure 2: p-polarization. Transmittance versus illumination wavelength for the Ag grating parameters: period $d = 3.5\mu m$, slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$. Solid line: FDTD calculation. Broken line: Theory calculation based on Eqs.(4)-(6) (a) Thickness $h = 3\mu m$; ($n_{eff} = 1.2$ in theory calculation).(b) Thickness $h = 2\mu m$; ($n_{eff} = 1.4$ in theory calculation). (c) Thickness $h = 1.2\mu m$; ($n_{eff} = 1.7$ in theory calculation). (d) Thickness $h = 0.6\mu m$; ($n_{eff} = 3.1$ in theory calculation).

- Figure 3: (a) p-polarization. Transmittance versus illumination wavelength for the Ag grating parameters: period $d = 3.5\mu m$, slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$, thickness $h = 5\mu m$. Calculation based on Eqs.(4)-(6) with $n_{eff} = 1.2$. (b) s-polarization. Transmittance versus illumination wavelength for the Ag grating parameters: period $d = 3.5\mu m$, slit width $a = 0.5\mu m$, thickness $h = 0.6\mu m$. (c) p-polarization. FDTD calculation for the same grating as in Fig.2(d), but now the material is Cr.

This figure "figures-a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0703778v2

This figure "figures-b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0703778v2

This figure "figures-c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0703778v2

This figure "figures-d.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0703778v2